

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/786,183		ZIMMERMAN, HAROLD M.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tony G. Soohoo		1723	

**All Participants:**

(1) Tony G. Soohoo.

(2) Larry Miller.

**Date of Interview:** 6 June 2007

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Discussion of amendment filed 02 June 2007.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejection made in office action of 3/02/2007*

Claims discussed:

*All claims as amended on 02 June 2007*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior art of record*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Allowed upon Supplemental Amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_


  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant requested an interview to discuss the amendment filed 6/2/2007. The examiner indicated that clarification of the peripheral fin spacing gap from the shell housing would further define the invention. Discussed the placement of the aggregate supply into the mixing auger would define over the prior art. Also discussion of the stationary pins pegs and secondary fins may further define. Independent claim 46 appears to be allowable over the prior art of record. Applicant indicated that a supplemental amendment would be submitted following the interview. .

  
TONY G. SOOHOO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER 1723